

Ladder Safety Research at the University of Pittsburgh

Kurt Beschorner, Ph.D.



Human Movement and Balance Laboratory **Human Movement and Balance Lab**

University of Pittsburgh

November 30, 2023

NORA Construction Sector Council Meeting

Our motivation

- "An injured employee slipped two ladder sections."
- "...the victim lost his balance two ladder sections where the slightly"

2

NIOSH FACE REPORT, June 8, 1998, Worker Dies After Falling 15 Feet From An Extension Ladder, https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/face/stateface/mn/98mn013.html.

Falls to lower Levels

Ladders are associated with:

- 15,000 non-fatal falls
- 113 fatal falls

Median of 20 days away from work for ladder-related falls





Ladders are frequently involved in fatal and disabling falls in the workplace



U.S DoL- BLS, New Data Highlight Gravity of Construction Falls, 1996: Washington, D.C.

Why laboratory testing for ladder safety?





Ladder Fall Type



Slips commonly cause occupational ladder fall events

 "Slip on rungs" was the initiating event for 14% of ladder-related fatalities¹

 "Slipped/lost balance" was the initiating event for 25% of occupational non-fatal falls ^{2,3}

¹Shepherd, G. W., Kahler, R. J., & Cross, J. (2006). Ergonomic design interventions—a case study involving portable ladders. *Ergonomics*, *49*(3), 221-234.

²Smith, G. S., Timmons, R. A., Lombardi, D. A., Mamidi, D. K., Matz, S., Courtney, T. K., & Perry, M. J. (2006). Work-related ladder fall fractures: identification and diagnosis validation using narrative text. *Accident Analysis & Prevention, 38*(5), 973-980.
³López, M. A. C., Ritzel, D. O., González, I. F., & Alcántara, O. J. G. (2011). Occupational accidents with ladders in Spain: Risk factors. *Journal of Safety Research, 42*(5), 391-398.



Question 1: Ladder fly design and its effect on fall risk

Fly Configuration Experiment

Reversed

Transition Base

Traditional

 Kinematic & Kinetic data collected for:

- 20 Participants
- 3 Ascents/Descents
- Investigated:
 - Required Coefficient of Friction (RCOF)



Fly Configuration Experiment





• Kinematic & Kinetic data collected for:

- 20 Participants
- 3 Ascents/Descents
- Investigated:
 - Required Coefficient of Friction (RCOF)
 - Foot Placement Corrections (FPCs)
 - User Preference

Reversed Fly Supported by All 3 Metrics



Decreased late stance RCOF in Reversed Fly Configuration



- 13 foot placement corrections
 - All occurred in the traditional fly condition
 - Occurred across 6 participants
 - 7 during descent, 6 during ascent
- 16 of 20 participants preferred reversed fly
 - 3 had no preference

Question 2: Transitioning between ladder and roof

Roof-to-ladder transition



Do walk-through attachments reduce slip risk during roof-to-

Magnitude *and* direction of friction are important for ladder transitioning



Medial/lateral friction values are higher than expected and highly related to ladder design

> Ridges in ladder rung may be dangerous!



Griffin, S.C., Williams, V., Vidic, N. and Beschorner, K.E., 2023. During roof-to ladder transitions, walk-through extensions modify required friction direction. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *159*, p.111780.

Human Factors: Foot and body positioning influence slip risk



₽ 4 0.2

0.1

0





-30

Griffin, S.C., Williams, V., Vidic, N. and Beschorner, K.E., 2023. During roof-to ladder transitions, walk-through extensions modify required friction direction. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *159*, p.111780.

-20

Foot Angle (degrees)

-10

Body angle



Martin, E.R., Pliner, E.M. and Beschorner, K.E. "Characterizing the shoe-rung friction requirements during ladder climbing." *Journal of Biomechanics* 99 (2020): 109507.





Question 3: Effect of toe space on fall risk Question 4: Grasping rail or rung?

Impact of ergonomic design and human factors on slipping risk



Controlled grasping





Controlled foot placement



Pliner, E. M., Campbell-Kyureghyan, N. H., & Beschorner, K. E. (2014). Effects of foot placement, hand positioning, age and climbing biodynamics on ladder slip outcomes. *Ergonomics*, *57*(11), 1739-1749.

Environmental factors: restricted foot placement



Pliner, E. M., Campbell-Kyureghyan, N. H., & Beschorner, K. E. (2014). Effects of foot placement, hand positioning, age and climbing biodynamics on ladder slip outcomes. *Ergonomics*, *57*(11), 1739-1749.



Ladder Climbing Observed in Hydro Power Plants



Human factors: Influence of grasp location on response speed



Schnorenberg, A.J., Campbell-Kyureghyan, N.H., Beschorner, K.E., 2015, Biomechanical Response to Ladder Slipping Events: Effects of Hand Placement, *Journal of Biomechanics* 48 (14), 3810-3815.

Factors associated with greater safety

Environmental

- Unrestricted foot placement
- Non-vertical ladders (~75°)
- Revising extension ladder design to flip base/fly*
- Use walk-through devices or improve lateral friction of rungs

*Not advocating modifying existing ladders but new designs

Human factors

- Grasping rungs instead of rails
- Keeping body weight over feet
- Maintaining level feet







Acknowledgements:

- NIOSH R01 OH 011799: Predicting slips during ladder climbing: novel methods for assessing shoe-rung friction
- NIOSH R21 OH 010038: Quantifying the Recovery Response and Role of Hand Strength During Ladder Falls
- NIOSH T42 OH 008672: Effects of Hand and Foot Positions on Ladder Slip and Fall Outcomes
- OSHA SH-24880-13-60-F-55: Safety and Ergonomics for Renewable Energy

Thank You!



Put our research into action!

• Links to full access articles are shared on:

- LinkedIn: "Kurt Beschorner"
- Twitter: @kurt_beschorner
- E-mail me at beschorn@pitt.edu