## **CPWR** KEY FINDINGS FROM RESEARCH



## **Overview**

Many construction companies have implemented daily stretch and flex programs intended to reduce the risk of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs), despite a lack of evidence showing effectiveness. Researchers interviewed 19 safety and health professionals and surveyed 133 more to learn why employers continue to spend resources on the programs, how their programs vary, and what benefits they perceive from the programs. Popular stretch and flex programs vary, but may have derivative safety benefits

## If you've seen one construction worksite stretch and flex program ... you've seen one construction worksite stretch and flex program

Linda M. Goldenhar and Pete Stafford. Journal of Safety Research, December 2015.

## **Key Findings**

A majority (56%) of survey respondents reported having a stretch and flex program, but the programs varied in duration, frequency, and type of stretches. Many firms mandated attendance but not participation; nonetheless, they reported general participation.

Cost was a factor when deciding to implement a program but not for sustaining one.

Many firms with these programs have started conducting daily safety huddles for task and safety planning during the stretch and flex period.

Those reporting a reduction in WMSDs agreed that it was not due to the stretch and flex program alone and that other benefits included increased worker camaraderie, communication, and collaboration

For more information, contact: Linda Goldenhar: lgoldenhar@cpwr.com See abstract:

http://bit.ly/1SQDZ0m

©2015, CPWR – The Center for Construction Research and Training. All rights reserved. CPWR is the research, training, and service arm of North America's Building Trades Unions, and works to reduce or eliminate safety and health hazards construction workers face on the job. Production of this Key Finding was supported by Grant OH009762 from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIOSH.



WWW.CPWR.COM